

Brevard Public Schools School Improvement Plan 2017-2018

Superintendent:

Asst. Supt. of Leading and Learning:

Desmond K. Blackburn, Ph.D.

K. Jane Cline

Principal Supervisor:

Name of School:

Dr. Carol Mela

John F. Turner Elementary

Principal:

SAC Chairperson:

Tanya Knowles

Deanna Smith

School Grade	2016-17: C	2015-16: C	2014-15: C
History			

Connections to District Strategic Plan

- Obj.L1. Protect instructional time
- Obj.L2 Support educator effectiveness and build capacity of teachers to improve student outcomes and close achievement gaps.
- Obj.L4: Provide equitable support for every student's socio-emotional development.
- Obj.L6. Build Principal capacity to develop and spread highly effective instructional practices
- Obj.R3 Increase system-wide proactive communications.

Mission Statement:

To ensure every student reaches his or her highest potential.

Vision Statement:

We work collaboratively as a community of learners to provide a safe, structured, and caring environment where all students are engaged learners who believe they can achieve.

Stakeholder Involvement in School Improvement Planning:

Briefly explain how stakeholders are involved in the development, review, and communication of the SIP.

Turner's School Improvement Plan is developed annually after data analysis and discussions for input are held with stakeholders, staff, and community partners over the summer and during preplanning. During this time, select target areas for improvement are identified. Periodic reviews of the School Improvement Plan goals are held throughout the school year based on data collected from quarterly classroom walkthroughs. Vertical and horizontal teams meet with instructional coaches and administrators to disaggregate and analyze data to see if our strategies are meeting the needs of our students and the guiding principles are impacting student achievement. The School Improvement Plan is also reviewed during School Advisory Council (SAC) Meetings to gather parental and community feedback and direction. Turner's finalized School Improvement Plan is shared with our families and community members through the school website, presented at our annual Title I Open

House, and discussed at our monthly School Advisory Council meetings.

Brevard Public Schools School Improvement Plan 2017-2018

Part 1: Planning for Student Achievement

RATIONALE – Continuous Improvement Cycle Process

Data Analysis from multiple data sources: Please consider the priority indicators selected from your school BPIE and EDI Insight Survey results within the rationale of your SIP.

What are the areas of successful professional practices and what data shows evidence of improvements?

Practice Indicating Strength	Measure	Rationale		
Classroom Walkthrough Data based on Learning Environment	2016-2017 Quarterly Data			
		Q1	Q2	Q3
	Lesson reflects the full intent of the standard.	31%	65%	28%
	Teacher plans and implements rigorous tasks.	30%	31%	25%
	Teacher establishes a classroom culture where students are actively invested participants in their own thinking and learning.	43%	42%	47%
Positive Behavior Support (PBS)	Students with the # of Discipline Referrals			
	Grade Level	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	K	28	9	
	1	203	58	
	2	81	44	
	3	35	59	
	4	32	60	
	5	26	47	
6	73	107		
		Targeted focus on Positive Behavior Support indicates that there was a decrease in the primary grades with the number of referrals. The implementation of character education and morning meeting was a positive addition to the school day.		

<p>EDI Survey</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Indicator</th> <th colspan="4">Index Score</th> </tr> <tr> <th>15-16</th> <th colspan="3">16-17</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Observation and Feedback</td> <td>6.4</td> <td colspan="3">6.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Evaluation</td> <td>6.7</td> <td colspan="3">7.1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Indicator	Index Score				15-16	16-17			Observation and Feedback	6.4	6.8			Evaluation	6.7	7.1			<p>Increased focus on individual feedback was evident with the increase of the index score. Teachers strongly believed that they got feedback after an observation and received support to implement changes. Teachers felt that evaluation ratings were an accurate reflection of teacher effectiveness and that their evaluator had an accurate perception of their classroom practice and student progress.</p>																					
Indicator	Index Score																																													
	15-16	16-17																																												
Observation and Feedback	6.4	6.8																																												
Evaluation	6.7	7.1																																												
<p>BPIE Survey</p>	<p>BPIE Results</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Domain</th> <th>NY</th> <th>B</th> <th>A</th> <th>Fully</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Leadership & Decision Making</td> <td>4</td> <td>6</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Instruction and Student Achievement</td> <td>0</td> <td>5</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Domain	NY	B	A	Fully	Leadership & Decision Making	4	6	7	0	Instruction and Student Achievement	0	5	4	0	<p>Increased focus on the development of short and long term efforts to include and implement inclusion. Key personnel will be appointed to oversee, monitor and coordinate inclusion for all students with disabilities.</p>																									
Domain	NY	B	A	Fully																																										
Leadership & Decision Making	4	6	7	0																																										
Instruction and Student Achievement	0	5	4	0																																										
<p>STEPS to Success for Tier 2 Supplemental Instruction</p>	<p>% of Students responding to Tier 1 Instruction</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>GR</th> <th>1st Quarter</th> <th>2nd Quarter</th> <th>3rd Quarter</th> <th>4th Quarter</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>K</td> <td>X</td> <td>56%</td> <td>63%</td> <td>28%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>35%</td> <td>50%</td> <td>55%</td> <td>19%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>36%</td> <td>71%</td> <td>70%</td> <td>70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>39%</td> <td>49%</td> <td>35%</td> <td>32%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>47%</td> <td>46%</td> <td>33%</td> <td>34%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>46%</td> <td>65%</td> <td>64%</td> <td>44%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>44%</td> <td>43%</td> <td>33%</td> <td>33%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					GR	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter	K	X	56%	63%	28%	1	35%	50%	55%	19%	2	36%	71%	70%	70%	3	39%	49%	35%	32%	4	47%	46%	33%	34%	5	46%	65%	64%	44%	6	44%	43%	33%	33%	<p>Greater staff wide clarity on foundational skills and processes needed to support reading development impacted overall 3-6 to maintain student levels.</p>
GR	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter																																										
K	X	56%	63%	28%																																										
1	35%	50%	55%	19%																																										
2	36%	71%	70%	70%																																										
3	39%	49%	35%	32%																																										
4	47%	46%	33%	34%																																										
5	46%	65%	64%	44%																																										
6	44%	43%	33%	33%																																										

<p>Core Instructional Practices</p> <p>KI – Key Ideas and Details</p> <p>CS – Craft and Structure</p> <p>IKI – Integration of Knowledge and Ideas</p> <p>LE – Language and Editing</p> <p>TBW – Text Based Writing</p>	<p>FSA ELA Strand Data 2017</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>GR</th> <th>KI</th> <th>CS</th> <th>IKI</th> <th>LE</th> <th>TBW</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>24%</td> <td>32%</td> <td>7%</td> <td>82%</td> <td>X</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>21%</td> <td>40%</td> <td>28%</td> <td>49%</td> <td>7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>40%</td> <td>52%</td> <td>19%</td> <td>59%</td> <td>6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>25%</td> <td>25%</td> <td>24%</td> <td>63%</td> <td>6%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	GR	KI	CS	IKI	LE	TBW	3	24%	32%	7%	82%	X	4	21%	40%	28%	49%	7%	5	40%	52%	19%	59%	6%	6	25%	25%	24%	63%	6%	<p>Increased focus on language and editing has shown the biggest strength.</p>
GR	KI	CS	IKI	LE	TBW																											
3	24%	32%	7%	82%	X																											
4	21%	40%	28%	49%	7%																											
5	40%	52%	19%	59%	6%																											
6	25%	25%	24%	63%	6%																											
<p>FCAT Science 2.0</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>L1</th> <th>L2</th> <th>L3</th> <th>L4</th> <th>L5</th> <th>% L3</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2015</td> <td>26%</td> <td>37%</td> <td>27%</td> <td>9%</td> <td>2%</td> <td>37%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2016</td> <td>41%</td> <td>25%</td> <td>24%</td> <td>6%</td> <td>4%</td> <td>34%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2017</td> <td>25%</td> <td>32%</td> <td>28%</td> <td>6%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>42%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	% L3	2015	26%	37%	27%	9%	2%	37%	2016	41%	25%	24%	6%	4%	34%	2017	25%	32%	28%	6%	8%	42%	<p>Increased focus over the past few years on teaching science at all grade levels to improve overall science content knowledge. Changed delivery of science ASP to be available to more students.</p>		
	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	% L3																										
2015	26%	37%	27%	9%	2%	37%																										
2016	41%	25%	24%	6%	4%	34%																										
2017	25%	32%	28%	6%	8%	42%																										

What are the concerns with professional practices and how are they revealed with data?

Practice Indicating Concern	Measure	Rationale																
<p>Classroom Walkthrough Data based on Learning Environment</p>	<p>2016-2017 Quarterly Data</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Q1</th> <th>Q2</th> <th>Q3</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Lesson reflects the full intent of the standard.</td> <td>31%</td> <td>65%</td> <td>28%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Teacher plans and implements rigorous tasks.</td> <td>30%</td> <td>31%</td> <td>25%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Teacher establishes a classroom culture where students are actively invested participants in their own thinking and learning.</td> <td>43%</td> <td>42%</td> <td>47%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Q1	Q2	Q3	Lesson reflects the full intent of the standard.	31%	65%	28%	Teacher plans and implements rigorous tasks.	30%	31%	25%	Teacher establishes a classroom culture where students are actively invested participants in their own thinking and learning.	43%	42%	47%	<p>Data indicates concerns in the area of lesson reflects the full intent and teacher plans and implements rigorous tasks.</p>
	Q1	Q2	Q3															
Lesson reflects the full intent of the standard.	31%	65%	28%															
Teacher plans and implements rigorous tasks.	30%	31%	25%															
Teacher establishes a classroom culture where students are actively invested participants in their own thinking and learning.	43%	42%	47%															

<p>EDI Survey</p>	<p>Learning Environment</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Indicator</th> <th colspan="2">Percentage</th> </tr> <tr> <th>16-17</th> <th>15-16</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>My school is a good place to teach and learn.</td> <td>58%</td> <td>68%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>School leaders promote a safe and productive environment in my school.</td> <td>68%</td> <td>73%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>There are consistent expectations and consequences for student behavior.</td> <td>23%</td> <td>32%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Teachers and leaders at my school immediately address student behavior in shared spaces.</td> <td>33%</td> <td>42%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Interactions between students and adults at my school are respectful.</td> <td>40%</td> <td>51%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>School leaders provide me with the resources and support I need to maintain high standards for student behaviors in my classroom.</td> <td>44%</td> <td>51%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Overall Average</td> <td>44%</td> <td>53%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Indicator	Percentage		16-17	15-16	My school is a good place to teach and learn.	58%	68%	School leaders promote a safe and productive environment in my school.	68%	73%	There are consistent expectations and consequences for student behavior.	23%	32%	Teachers and leaders at my school immediately address student behavior in shared spaces.	33%	42%	Interactions between students and adults at my school are respectful.	40%	51%	School leaders provide me with the resources and support I need to maintain high standards for student behaviors in my classroom.	44%	51%	Overall Average	44%	53%	<p>All indicators in the Learning Environment domain showed a decrease.</p>				
Indicator	Percentage																															
	16-17	15-16																														
My school is a good place to teach and learn.	58%	68%																														
School leaders promote a safe and productive environment in my school.	68%	73%																														
There are consistent expectations and consequences for student behavior.	23%	32%																														
Teachers and leaders at my school immediately address student behavior in shared spaces.	33%	42%																														
Interactions between students and adults at my school are respectful.	40%	51%																														
School leaders provide me with the resources and support I need to maintain high standards for student behaviors in my classroom.	44%	51%																														
Overall Average	44%	53%																														
<p>Core Instructional Practices</p> <p>KI – Key Ideas and Details</p> <p>CS – Craft and Structure</p> <p>IKI – Integration of Knowledge and Ideas</p> <p>LE – Language and Editing</p> <p>TBW – Text Based Writing</p>	<p>FSA ELA Strand Data 2017</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>GR</th> <th>KI</th> <th>CS</th> <th>IKI</th> <th>LE</th> <th>TBW</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>24%</td> <td>32%</td> <td>7%</td> <td>82%</td> <td>X</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>21%</td> <td>40%</td> <td>28%</td> <td>49%</td> <td>7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>40%</td> <td>52%</td> <td>19%</td> <td>59%</td> <td>6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>25%</td> <td>25%</td> <td>24%</td> <td>63%</td> <td>6%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	GR	KI	CS	IKI	LE	TBW	3	24%	32%	7%	82%	X	4	21%	40%	28%	49%	7%	5	40%	52%	19%	59%	6%	6	25%	25%	24%	63%	6%	<p>All grade levels indicate a weakness in helping students develop the ELA strand of text based writing and key ideas and details. The focus on literacy development through Leveled Literacy Intervention program will assist to improve these scores.</p>
GR	KI	CS	IKI	LE	TBW																											
3	24%	32%	7%	82%	X																											
4	21%	40%	28%	49%	7%																											
5	40%	52%	19%	59%	6%																											
6	25%	25%	24%	63%	6%																											

<p>Core Instructional Practices</p> <p>Subgroups</p>	<p>% in ELA at Level 3 or Higher</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Subgroup</th> <th>% L3</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ELL</td> <td>1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ESE</td> <td>18%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FRL</td> <td>42%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>White</td> <td>53%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Black</td> <td>31%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hispanic</td> <td>36%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Multi-Racial</td> <td>38%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td>80%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Subgroup	% L3	ELL	1%	ESE	18%	FRL	42%	White	53%	Black	31%	Hispanic	36%	Multi-Racial	38%	Other	80%	<p>All areas with the exception of Other subgroup indicate a weakness in helping students develop an overall grade level reading score.</p>
Subgroup	% L3																			
ELL	1%																			
ESE	18%																			
FRL	42%																			
White	53%																			
Black	31%																			
Hispanic	36%																			
Multi-Racial	38%																			
Other	80%																			
<p>Core Instructional Practices</p>	<p>Average and Overall combination of multiple ELA assessments. KLS, BELAA, Running Records, FSA</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Grade Level</th> <th>On Level</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>K</td> <td>31%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>54%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>61%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>42%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Grade Level	On Level	K	31%	1	54%	2	61%	3	42%	4	35%	5	40%	<p>All grade levels indicate a weakness in helping students develop an overall grade level reading score.</p>				
Grade Level	On Level																			
K	31%																			
1	54%																			
2	61%																			
3	42%																			
4	35%																			
5	40%																			
<p>Core Instruction in grades K-3</p>	<p>FSA Percent of Level 3 and above for Grade 3.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>ELA</th> <th>Grade 3 Level 3+</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2016 -2017</td> <td>41%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2015 - 2016</td> <td>54%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	ELA	Grade 3 Level 3+	2016 -2017	41%	2015 - 2016	54%	<p>Indicators of decreasing performance of students taking initial FSA. This is a direct correlation to the contribution of our K-2 teachers in reading foundational skills.</p>												
ELA	Grade 3 Level 3+																			
2016 -2017	41%																			
2015 - 2016	54%																			

School-Based Goal: What can be done to improve instructional effectiveness?

If the Turner faculty will establish and monitor a safe, orderly, and productive learning environment by developing positive relationships with all stakeholders in order to help them reach their social, emotional, and academic potential with consistency and fidelity the number of discipline referrals will decrease by 105 overall and the EDI Survey results will increase to an overall average of 60%.

Strategies: Small number of action oriented staff performance objectives.

Barrier	Action Steps to Overcome Barrier	Person Responsible	Timetable	In-Process Measure
Lack of consistence expectations and consequences for student behavior.	1. Teachers will implement consistent school wide expectations as a result of the implementation from staff training.	1. Long, Teachers	1. August	1. Learning Environment Quarterly data
	2. Leadership team has ongoing communication with staff to discuss consequences, rationale and student data to drive behavioral change.	2. Long	2. Ongoing	2. Tiger Talk newsletter each week
	3. Teachers will have a decrease in need to write discipline referrals as a result of student assemblies and/or teaching expectations.	3. Long, Teachers	3. August	3. Discipline referral
	4. PBS Coach will conduct positive student assemblies to motivate students, events to celebrate positive student behavior and encourage social interactions for all grade levels.	4. PBS Coach. PBS Committee Members	4. Quarterly	4. Discipline referral
Lack of respectful interactions between students and staff.	1. Teachers will maintain positive relationships with students Provide multiple trainings that cover the importance of relationship building.	1. Long, Teachers	1. Training schedule	1. Agenda
	2. PBS Coach will create a student ambassador team	2. PBS Coach, PBS Committee Members	2. Meeting dates	2. List of students and agenda for the

	consisting of students from multiple grade levels to give feedback on relationships.			meetings.
--	--	--	--	-----------

School-Based Goal: What can be done to improve instructional effectiveness?

If the Turner faculty will implement high quality English Language Arts core instruction and intervention, then the number of students performing at proficiency will increase to 55% on the 2018 FSA ELA.

Strategies: Small number of action oriented staff performance objectives.

Barrier	Action Steps to Overcome Barrier	Person Responsible	Timetable	In-Process Measure
Teachers need resources and training on what high quality instruction and access to aligned curriculum.	<p>TIER 1</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Teachers will implement and receive feedback/coaching on the ELA non negotiables established by the leadership team. Teachers will focus on an integrated ELA block that focuses on vocabulary development. Teachers will share data during individual data meetings and Kid Talk to focus on driving instruction based on the needs of the students. Teachers will implement guided reading through small group instruction utilizing complex text during Tier 1 instruction. Teachers will implement quality lesson plans as a result 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Leadership Team, Teachers Leadership Team, Teachers Leadership Team, Teachers Leadership Team, Teachers Leadership Team, 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing cycle of observing, coaching, feedback at least one time per quarter. Literacy coach will focus on classrooms where the majority of ESE and ELL students are in grades 3-6 with a quarterly schedule Profile sheets and student communication records updated each quarter. Monthly observations and fishbowl/video training sessions. Monthly observations. 	<p>The In-Process Measures will be walkthrough data, coaches log, lesson plans to indicate high quality lessons and IStation Data. These measures will be gathered and analyzed in October, January, March and May.</p> <p>The Leadership team will provide school wide trends, grade level trends and individual teacher feedback following the walk thrus.</p>

	of additional collaborative planning and learning from each other.	Teachers		
Teachers need to implement interventions with fidelity.	<p>TIER 2</p> <p>Scaffolding Instruction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Teachers will implement effective use of time during Power Hour using Leveled Literacy Intervention program to increase fidelity, and small group instruction focusing on reading abilities including comprehension. 2. Teachers will implement IStation with fidelity. <p>Skill Deficit</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Teachers will implement Steps to success: skill based utilizing PASI, PSI, Fluency and Vocabulary development. 4. Teachers will implement standards aligned interventions such as; IStation lessons, Achieve the Core 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Leadership Team, Teachers 2. Leadership Team, Teachers 3. Literacy Coach, Teachers 4. Literacy Coach, Teachers 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Monthly observations 2. Adhere to the monthly schedule for IStation. 3. Students groups will be changing every 4-6 weeks based on student needs. The focus will be on the lowest 25% to switch to ensure smaller groups to fill skill deficits. 4. Monthly classroom walkthroughs. 	In Process Measure will be; IStation data, LLI progress monitoring weekly tool and classroom walkthrough data.

EVALUATION – Outcome Measures and Reflection-*begin with the end in mind.*

Qualitative and Quantitative Professional Practice Outcomes: Measures the level of implementation of professional practices throughout your school.

Goal 1:

- 1. EDI Survey overall average results will increase to 60% in the area of learning environment.**
- 2. Walkthrough data will be collected quarterly monitoring the established learning environment in each classroom. After implementation of the school improvement plan there will be an increase of classroom implementation by 10% by the end of the year.**
- 3. There will be a decrease in the number of referrals showing schoolwide PBS buy in by at least 10% overall.**

Goal 2:

- 1. Walkthrough data will be collected quarterly monitoring the ELA instruction and will increase by 10% showing the classroom implementation of effective ELA strategies at the end of the year.**
- 2. Istation data will show an increase of Tier 1 level students at 10% as an overall grade level average.**
- 3. LLI base levels using the benchmark screening inventory will show at least 60% of the school on level by the end of the school year.**
- 4. The 2018 FSA ELA assessments results will increase from 43% to 55% for Level 3 and above.**

Qualitative and Quantitative Student Achievement Expectations: Measures student achievement.

The goal of Turner Elementary is to increase the percent of students scoring at proficiency as evidenced by improved performance on ELA FSA. As of Spring 2016 Reading (51%) of students performed at or above satisfactory (Level 3 or higher) on the FSA. The goal is for 70% of students to perform at or above proficiency in reading. The improvement in the area of learning environment will allow more instructional time. A second goal for Turner is to be removed from the lowest 300 school list from the state, increasing our overall school grade from 40.5 to a 50.5.

Part 2: Support Systems for Student Achievement

(Federal, State, and District Mandates)

For the following areas, please write a brief narrative that includes the data from the year 2016-2017 and a description of changes you intend to incorporate to improve the data for the year 2017-2018.

MULTI-TIERED SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS MTSS/RtI This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b) and Senate Bill 850.

1. Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students. Include the methodology for coordinating the use of federal, state and local funds, services, and programs.
Turner Elementary leadership team consists of administrators, Title I Coordinator, Math Coach and Literacy Coach. The team focuses on student academic, social/emotional and behavioral data to assess the needs of our students. Based on collected data, all leadership team members provide input on best practices and resources to allocate funds.
2. Describe your school's data-based problem-solving process: including types of data used to monitor effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive instruction; and school based structures in place to address MTSS implementation.
The MTSS team consists of administrators, literacy coach, math coach, guidance counselor, SLP, ESE Resources teachers, school psychologist, ESE support specialist and teachers who specialize in content areas. Our team trains teachers in the process and procedures. Tier 1 instruction is the general grade-level instruction that all students receive in their classrooms. When students are struggling to meet grade level expectations, they are assessed to determine their academic needs in order receive Tier 2 intervention support. Our school has designated time in the daily schedule called Steps to Success where teachers group students with similar skill deficits and provide Tier 2 supplemental instruction to help fill gaps in learning and to help students become successful with their grade level content. Data meetings are held every Thursday where the team looks at Tier 2 student data and discusses instructional strategies and individual student needs. Every Tuesday our IPST team meets to review Tier 2 student data and determine if additional support is needed. If needed, the team will design a Tier 3 intervention for students who are not successful in Tier 2 and need additional intensive intervention. This individual problem solving process will continue until all student needs are met.

Turner also has implemented a Power Hour time that takes place during our additional hour in our school day. During this time, students are grouped based on reading level in very small groups. Students receive small group intervention instruction to help supplement their needs for Tier 1. We are utilizing Leveled Literacy Intervention, a research-based intervention program, schoolwide during this time. Students are also utilizing Istation, a comprehensive computer-based instructional program, as well.

PARENT AND FAMILY INVOLVEMENT: (Parent Survey Data must be referenced) Title I Schools may use the [Parent Involvement Plan](#) to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Consider the level of family and community involvement at your school and parent survey data collected. Respond to the following questions. What are best practices that are strengths and how will they be sustained? What are areas of weaknesses and how are they being addressed?

Based on the Parent Survey data:

Item	Percent Responded Positively
Turner Values Parent Input	82%
Parents participate in decision making and academic achievement level	34%
Turner creates a welcoming environment for families	90%

Turner Elementary hosts multiple family events throughout the school year. We do have little participation on academic based events. After each event we problem solve how to increase participation. We have implemented options such as; dinner or child care provided, family fun activities, different times and different days. Based on the parent survey comments an area for improvement is in the area of better communication between teacher and parent. We plan to increase communication through providing instructional coverage if teachers need that time to make phone calls, discuss parent communication throughout our trainings, discuss parent communication in data meetings and promote open communication with all. Turner Elementary offers Principal chats for parents, administration booths at Open House and Conference nights, quarterly awards programs, monthly student recognition programs, and volunteer opportunities. At the end of each event, we review parent written feedback to use to plan future events that reflect their opinions. Looking at the parent survey an area of improvement is to focus on ways to include parents in decision making. We have included decision making during our School Advisory Council meetings and throughout feedback sessions. Turner Elementary hosts 2 Kindergarten Orientation sessions for parents to walk through the school and learn about the expectations of a Kindergarten student, plus ways to help them at home. We invite parents by placing fliers in local daycares, sending home information with our VPK and EELP students, plus adding information to the marquee and newsletter. Turner Elementary works collaboratively with the district resource ESOL teacher to continuously address the needs of English Language Learners (ELL) and families. Turner's guidance counselor and Title 1 coordinator work with Promise of Brevard and Community of Hope to assist families in transition or homeless.

EARLY WARNING SYSTEMS (SB 850) Please complete 1 – 3. The school's response to this section may be used to satisfy the requirements of 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b)(1)(B)(ii)(III), (b)(1)(B)(iii)(I), and (b)(1)(I).

1. List any additional early warning system indicators and describe the school’s early warning system.

ELEMENTARY

- Attendance below 90 percent, regardless of whether absence is excused or a result of out-of-school suspension
- One or more suspensions, whether in school or out of school
- Level 1 score on the statewide, standardized assessments in English Language Arts or mathematics
- Other

<p>Description of early warning system:</p> <p>Attendance is a big concern at Turner. We have a designated staff member to monitor attendance with absences, tardies and early check out system.</p> <p>Suspension: As a PBS school, Turner will focus on reducing school suspensions and increasing positive reinforcements with Tier 2 and Tier 3.</p> <p>Level 1: The implementation of Power Hour; Leveled Literacy Intervention and IStation with fidelity will focus on the areas of need. Collaborative teacher teams working to improve instruction through planning and trainings.</p> <p>Add any additional EWS indicators here:</p> <p>Reading on grade level in the primary grades. Leadership team and teachers will focus on early literacy instructional practices to increase number of students on grade level at the K-2 levels.</p>
--

2. This section captures a snapshot of the total number of students exhibiting a respective indicator or set of indicators during the 2016-17 school year. These data should be used as part of the needs assessment to identify potential problem areas and inform the school’s planning and problem solving for 2017-18:

- The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed above.

Fill in BLANKS with data from 2016-17 School Year - Number of Students								
Grade Level	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Total
Attendance <90	20	29	38	39	33	36	29	224
1 or more ISS or OSS	0	2	6	3	5	4	6	26
Level 1 in ELA or Math	X	X	X	37	48	35	54	120
Course Failure in ELA or Math	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Students exhibiting 2 or more indicators	5	21	22	26	23	21	22	140

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

3. Describe all intervention strategies employed by the school to improve the academic performance of students identified by the early warning system (i.e., those exhibiting two or more early warning indicators).

- Parent conferences are scheduled for students identified by the early warning system.
- Schoolwide Steps to Success for all identified students in grades K-6 in ELA.
- ASP funds are allocated for grades 3-6 to support students in the lowest 25%.
- Monthly monitoring system of attendance, tardies and early check outs.
- Daily morning meetings to discuss character education, ROAR expectations to support on target behaviors.
- Social emotional groups with guidance counselor
- Check In/Out with students as a Tier II behavior intervention

STUDENT TRANSITION AND READINESS

1. PreK-12 TRANSITION This section used to meet requirements of 20 U.S.C 6314(b)(1)(g).

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

Turner Elementary holds yearly meetings to transition VPK students into Turner. Contact is made with local daycares and VPK programs throughout the community. Turner hosts multiple times for our transition meeting where we assist parents in completing paperwork, touring the school and discussing the transition with strategies to help families.

Turner Elementary invites Southwest Middle School and Stone Magnet Middle School to present to sixth grade classes. At these presentation, staff and students from the middle schools showcase the programs they offer. Southwest Middle School Deans and Guidance Staff along with students present information about clubs, schedules, courses and expectations for student conduct.